Interview Summary

Application No. 10/092,629 Applicant(s)

Rached

Examiner

Peter Szeke

Art Unit

	Peter Szekely	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):	
(1) Peter Szekely	(3)	
(2) Harris Pitlick		
Date of Interview Oct 17, 2003		
Type: a) X Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief descripti	on:
Claim(s) discussed: Claim 23.		
Identification of prior art discussed: None		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) [X] was reached	d. g)□ was not reached. h)□	N/A.
any other comments: The Markush language in the claim will be corrected.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the examiner agre copy of the amendments that we	ed would render the claims ould render the claims allowable is
i) $oxtimes$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep	arate record of the substance of t	the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	IPEP section 713.04). If a reply t ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	o the last Office action has FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	a signature, if required

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 1018

Interview Summary

1.00

Application No. 10/092,629

Applicant(s)

10/032,0

Art Unit

Rached

Examiner

Peter Szekely

1714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, F	PTO personnel):
(1) Peter Szekely	(3)
(2) Harris Pitlick	
Date of Interview Oct 17, 2003	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applications.	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: Claim 23.	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was read Substance of Interview including description of the ger any other comments: The Markush language in the claim will be corrected.	neral nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ar allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a summary the paragraph above has been checked, THE FO	mendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). ORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST
already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH	e MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has I FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required